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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PWSID</th>
<th>System Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>PFBA</th>
<th>PFPeA</th>
<th>PFHxA</th>
<th>PFHpA</th>
<th>PFOA</th>
<th>PFNA</th>
<th>PFDA</th>
<th>PFBS</th>
<th>PFHxS</th>
<th>PFOS</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0251001</td>
<td>Ridgewood Water Department</td>
<td>Bergen</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0408001</td>
<td>Camden City Water Department</td>
<td>Camden</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0516001</td>
<td>Woodbine MUA</td>
<td>Cape May</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0610001</td>
<td>Millville Water Department</td>
<td>Cumberland</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0814001</td>
<td>Paulsboro Water Department</td>
<td>Gloucester</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003001</td>
<td>Bloomsbury Water Department</td>
<td>Hunterdon</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1103001</td>
<td>Aqua NJ - Hamilton Square</td>
<td>Mercer</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1216001</td>
<td>Perth Amboy Water Department</td>
<td>Middlesex</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1321001</td>
<td>Keansburg Water &amp; Sewer Department</td>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>GW-C</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0705001</td>
<td>East Orange Water Commission</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1424001</td>
<td>Southeast Morris County MUA</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1429001</td>
<td>Parsippany Troy Hills Water Department</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1507005</td>
<td>United Water Toms River</td>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1514002</td>
<td>Lakewood MUA</td>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1615001</td>
<td>West Millford MUA - Birch Hill</td>
<td>Passaic</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1706305</td>
<td>Bondie &amp; Sons</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>GW-C</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1713001</td>
<td>Handy’s Mobile Park</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>GW-C</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1713308</td>
<td>Salem Co. Sportsman Club</td>
<td>Salem</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918004</td>
<td>Sparta Township Water Utility - Lake Mohawk</td>
<td>Sussex</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004002</td>
<td>NJ American Elizabethtown - Netherwood Wellfield</td>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2102001</td>
<td>Alpha Municipal Water Works</td>
<td>Warren</td>
<td>GW-U</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0102001</td>
<td>Atlantic City - Doughty Pond</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0102001</td>
<td>Atlantic City - Kuehnle Pond</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0305001</td>
<td>Burlington City Water Department</td>
<td>Burlington</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0712001</td>
<td>NJ American Water - Short Hills</td>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0714001</td>
<td>Newark Water Department</td>
<td>Essex</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1214001</td>
<td>New Brunswick Water Department</td>
<td>Middlesex</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1225001</td>
<td>Middlesex Water Company</td>
<td>Middlesex</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1345001</td>
<td>NJ American Water - Coastal, Northern System</td>
<td>Monmouth</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1401001</td>
<td>Boonton Water Department</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1403001</td>
<td>Butler Water Department</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1506001</td>
<td>Brick Township MUA</td>
<td>Ocean</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004002</td>
<td>NJ American Elizabethtown - Raritan River</td>
<td>Somerset</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are for raw water source (groundwater well or surface water intake) for listed public water supply.
GW-U = Unconfined groundwater
GW-C = Confined groundwater
SW = Surface Water

Reporting Level for all PFCs was 5 ng/L.
Totals are based on detected PFCs (>5 ng/L), with non-detects assumed to be zero.
ND - All PFCs were <5 ng/L.
At a Glance

- Fully fluorinated compounds that are human-made substances and not naturally found in the environment.
- Used as a surface-active agent and in a variety of products, such as fire fighting foams, coating additives, and cleaning products.
- Does not hydrolyze, photolize, or biodegrade under environmental conditions and is extremely persistent in the environment.
- Studies have shown it has the potential to bioaccumulate and biomagnify in wildlife.
- Readily absorbed after oral exposure and accumulates primarily in the serum, kidney, and liver.
- Toxicological studies on animals indicate potential developmental, reproductive, and systematic effects.
- Health-based advisories or screening levels for PFOS and PFOA have been developed by both the EPA and the states.
- Standard detection methods include high-performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS).
- Common water treatment technologies include activated carbon filters and reverse osmosis units.

Introduction

An “emerging contaminant” is a chemical or material that is characterized by a perceived, potential or real threat to human health or the environment or by a lack of published health standards. A contaminant may also be “emerging” because a new source or a new pathway to humans has been discovered or a new detection method or treatment technology has been developed (DoD 2011). This fact sheet, developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Federal Facilities Restoration and Reuse Office (FFRRO), provides a brief summary of the emerging contaminants perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), including physical and chemical properties; environmental and health impacts; existing federal and state guidelines; detection and treatment methods; and additional sources of information.

PFOS and PFOA are extremely persistent in the environment and resistant to typical environmental degradation processes. As a result, they are widely distributed across the higher trophic levels and are found in soil, air, and groundwater at sites across the United States. The toxicity and bioaccumulation potential of PFOS and PFOA indicate a cause of concern for the environment and human health. This fact sheet is intended for use by site managers faced with addressing PFOS and PFOA at cleanup sites or in drinking water supplies and for those in a position to consider whether these chemicals should be added to the analytical suite for site investigations.

What are PFOS and PFOA?

- PFOS and PFOA are fully fluorinated, organic compounds and are the two perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) made in the largest amounts within the United States (ATSDR 2009).
- PFOS is a perfluoralkyl sulfonate that is commonly used as a simple salt (such as potassium, sodium, or ammonium) or incorporated into larger polymers (EFSA 2008; EPA 2009a).
- PFOA is a perfluoralkyl carboxylate that is produced synthetically as its salts. Ammonium salt is the most widely produced form (EFSA 2008; EPA 2009a).
- PFOS synonyms include 1-octanesulfonic acid, 1-octanesulfonic acid, heptadecafluorooctanesulfonic acid, heptadecafluoro-1-octanesulfonic acid, perfluoro-n-octanesulfonic acid, perfluorooctanesulfonic acid, and perfluorooctylsulfonic acid (ATSDR 2009; UNEP 2005).
- PFOA synonyms include pentadecafluorooctanoic acid, pentadecafluoro-n-octanoic acid, pentadecafluorooctanoic acid, perfluorocaprylic perfluorooctanoic acid, perfluoroheptanecarboxylic acid, and octanoic acid (ATSDR 2009).
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What are PFOS and PFOA? (continued)

- They are stable chemicals made of a long carbon chain that is both lipid- and water-repellent. Because of the unique amphiphilic character, PFOS and PFOA are used as surface-active agents in various high-temperature applications and for applications in contact with strong acids or bases (ATSDR 2009; UNEP 2005).
- They are used in a wide variety of industrial and commercial products such as textiles and leather products, fire fighting foams, metal plating, the photographic industry, photolithography, semiconductors, paper and packaging, coating additives, cleaning products, and pesticides (OECD 2002; EFSA 2008).
- They are human-made compounds and do not naturally occur in the environment (ATSDR 2009; UNEP 2006).
- PFOS and PFOA can be formed by environmental microbial degradation or by metabolism in larger organisms from a large group of related substances or precursor compounds (ATSDR 2009; Condor et al. 2010; UNEP 2006).
- The 3M Company, the primary manufacturer of PFOS, completed a voluntary phase-out of PFOS production in 2002 (ATSDR 2009; UNEP 2007).
- PFOS chemicals are no longer manufactured in United States. However, they can be imported and used for specific limited uses (EPA 2009a).
- PFOA is primarily manufactured for use as an aqueous dispersion agent, as ammonium salt, in the manufacture of fluoropolymers, which are used in a wide variety of mechanical and industrial components. They are also produced unintentionally by the degradation of some fluorotelomers (EPA 2009a).
- As part of the EPA’s PFOA stewardship program, eight companies committed to reduce global facility emission and product content of PFOA and related chemicals by 95 percent in 2010 and eliminating emission and product content by 2015 (ATSDR 2009; EPA 2012b).

Exhibit 1: Physical and Chemical Properties of PFOS and PFOA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>PFOS (Potassium Salt)</th>
<th>PFOA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>2795-39-3</td>
<td>335-67-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Description</td>
<td>White Powder</td>
<td>White powder/waxy white solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight (g/mol)</td>
<td>538 (potassium salt)</td>
<td>414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water solubility (mg/L at 25°C)</td>
<td>570 (purified), 370 (freshwater), 25 (filtered seawater)</td>
<td>9.5 X 10^3 (purified)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Point (°C)</td>
<td>&gt; 400</td>
<td>45 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling point (°C)</td>
<td>Not measurable</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vapor pressure at 20 °C (mm Hg)</td>
<td>2.48 X10^5</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air water partition coefficient (Pa.m^3/mol)</td>
<td>&lt; 2 X10^6</td>
<td>Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octanol-water partition coefficient (log K_{ow})</td>
<td>Not measurable</td>
<td>Not measurable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic-carbon partition coefficient (log K_{oc})</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry’s law constant (atm m^3/mol)</td>
<td>3.05 x 10^9</td>
<td>Not measurable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Half-Life                              | Atmospheric: 114 days  |
| Water: > 41 years (at 25° C)          | Photolytic: > 3.7 years|
| Sonolysis: 20 to 63 minutes           | Atmospheric: 90 days   |
| Water: > 92 years (at 25° C)          | Photolytic: > 349 days  |
| Sonolysis: 20 to 63 minutes           |                          |

Notes: g/mol – grams per mole; mg/L – milligrams per liter; °C – degree Celsius; mm Hg – millimeters of mercury; Pa m^3/mol – pascal-cubic meters per mole; atm m^3/mol – atmosphere-cubic meters per mole.
What are the environmental impacts of PFOS and PFOA?

- During past manufacturing processes, large amounts of PFOS and PFOA were released to the air, water, and soil in and around fluorochemical facilities (ATSDR 2009).
- PFOS and PFOA have been detected in a number of U.S. cities in surface water and sediments downstream of former production facilities, wastewater treatment plant effluents, sewage sludge, and landfill leachate (EFSA 2002; OECD 2002).
- Both PFOS and PFOA are the stable end products resulting from the degradation of precursor substances through a variety of abiotic and biotic transformation pathways (Conder et al. 2010).
- PFCs, including PFOS and PFOA, are chemically and biologically stable in the environment and resistant to biodegradation, atmospheric photooxidation, direct photolysis, and hydrolysis. As a result, these chemicals are extremely persistent in the environment (ATSDR 2009; EFSA 2008).
- Low acid dissociation constants (pKa) ranging from -3 to 4 suggest that PFOS and PFOA are strong acids and exist predominately in the anionic form in the environment (Conder et al. 2010).
- When released directly to the atmosphere, PFCs are expected to adsorb to particles and settle onto soil through wet or dry deposition (ATSDR 2009).
- PFOA and PFOS in anionic form are water-soluble and can migrate readily from soil to groundwater (Conder et al. 2010; Post et al. 2012).
- As a result of their chemical stability and low volatility in ionic form, PFCs are persistent in water and soil (ATSDR 2009).
- Monitoring data from the Arctic region and at sites remote from known point sources, have shown highly elevated levels of PFOS and PFOA in environmental media and biota, indicating that long-range transport has occurred (ATSDR 2009; Post et al. 2012; UNEP 2007).
- Long-range PFC transport sources include the atmospheric transport of precursor compounds, such as perfluroalkyl sulfonamides, followed by photolysis to form PFCs, and the direct long-range transport of PFCs via ocean current or in the form of marine aerosols (ATSDR 2009; Post et al. 2012).
- The wide distribution of PFCs in high trophic levels increases the potential for bioaccumulation and biocaccumulation. Because of their persistence and long-term accumulation, higher trophic level wildlife such as fish, piscivorous birds, and Arctic biota can continue to be exposed to PFOS and PFOA (EPA 2006; UNEP 2006).
- PFOS exhibits a higher tendency to bind to organic matter and bioaccumulate compared to PFOA due to its longer perfluoroalkyl chain length (Conder et al. 2010).
- PFOS has been shown to bioaccumulate and biomagnify in wildlife species such as fish and piscivorous birds. The biomagnification factor ranges from 1.4 to 17 kilogram per kilogram (kg/kg) in predatory birds and mammals (Moermond et al. 2010; UNEP 2006).
- PFOS is the only PFC that has been shown to accumulate to levels of concern in fish tissue. The estimated kinetic bioconcentration factor in fish ranges from 1,000 to 4,000 (EFSA 2008; MDH 2011).
- High levels of PFCs, including PFOS and PFOA, have been reported at both the Oakdale Dump Superfund Site in Oakdale, Minnesota (MN) and Washington County Landfill Site in Lake Elmo, MN (EPA 2012 c, d).

What are the health effects of PFOS and PFOA?

- Studies have found small quantities of PFOS and PFOA in the blood samples of humans and wildlife nationwide, indicating that exposure to the chemicals is widespread (3M 2000; EPA 2006).
- Potential pathways, which may lead to widespread exposure, include ingestion of food and water, use of commercial products, or inhalation from long-range air transport (ATSDR 2009; EPA 2009a; MDH 2011).
- Based on the limited information available, fish and fishery products seem to be one of the primary sources of human exposure to PFOS. The maximum permissible concentration (MPC), based on consumption of fish by humans as the most critical route, is 0.65 nanograms per liter (ng/L) for freshwater (Moermond et al. 2010).
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What are the health effects of PFOS and PFOA? (continued)

- Studies also indicate that continued exposure to low levels of PFOA in drinking water may result in adverse health effects (Post et al. 2012).
- Toxicology studies show that PFOS and PFOA are readily absorbed after oral exposure and accumulate primarily in the serum, kidney, and liver. No further metabolism is expected (EFSA 2008; EPA 2006; EPA 2009a).
- PFOS and PFOA have a long half-life of about 4 years in humans. This continued exposure could increase body burdens to levels that would result in adverse outcomes (ATSDR 2009; EPA 2009a).
- Acute- and intermediate- duration oral studies in rodents have raised concerns about potential developmental, reproductive, and other systematic effects of PFOS and PFOA (Austin et al. 2003; ATSDR 2009; EPA 2006).
- The ingestion of PFOA-contaminated water was found to cause adverse effects on mammary gland development in mice (Post et al. 2012).
- Results of a study indicate that exposure to PFOS can affect the neuroendocrine system in rats (Austin et al. 2003).
- Both PFOS and PFOA have a high affinity for binding to B-lipoproteins and liver fatty acid-binding protein. Several studies have shown that these compounds can interfere with fatty acid metabolism and may deregulate metabolism of lipids and lipoproteins (EFSA 2008; EPA 2009a).
- The EPA has not classified PFOS or PFOA as to carcinogenicity (ATSDR 2009).
- The chronic exposure to PFOS and PFOA can lead to the development of tumors in the liver of rats; however, more research is needed to determine if there are similar cancer risks for humans (ATSDR 2009; OECD 2002).
- Based on epidemiology studies on people with chronic drinking water exposure to PFOA in West Virginia and Ohio and other available data, the C8 Science panel concluded that there is a probable link between PFOA and both testicular and kidney cancer (C8 Science Panel 2012).
- Epidemiologic studies have shown an association between PFOS exposure and bladder cancer; however, further research is needed (EPA 2006; OECD 2002).
- The EPA removed PFOS and PFOA from the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) agenda in a Federal Register Notice released on October 18, 2010. At this time, EPA is not conducting an IRIS assessment for these chemicals (EPA 2010).

Are there any federal and state guidelines and health standards for PFOS and PFOA?

- The EPA has not established a minimal risk level (MRL) for PFOS or PFOA because human studies to date are insufficient to determine with a sufficient degree of certainty that the effects are either exposure-related or adverse (ATSDR 2009).
- The EPA finalized two Significant New Use Rules (SNURs) in 2002, requiring companies to inform the EPA 90 days before they manufacture or import 88 identified PFOS-related substances (EPA 2008; UNEP 2006).
- In 2007, the SNURs were amended to include 183 additional PFOS-related substances with carbon chain lengths of five carbons and higher (EPA 2006; UNEP 2007).
- The SNURs allow for the continuation of a few limited, highly technical uses of PFOS where there are no alternatives available, and which are characterized by very low volume, low exposure, and low releases (ATSDR 2009; EPA 2006).
- In January 2009, the EPA’s Office of Water established a provisional health advisory (PHA) of 0.2 micrograms per liter (μg/L) for PFOS and 0.4 μg/L for PFOA to protect against the potential risk from exposure of these chemicals through drinking water (EPA 2009b; EPA 2011).
- EPA Region 4 recommended a residential soil screening level of 6 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) for PFOS and 16 mg/kg for PFOA (EPA 2009c).
- Minnesota has established a health risk limit of 0.3 μg/L for PFOS and PFOA in drinking water (MDH 2011).
- New Jersey has established a preliminary drinking-water guidance value of 0.04 μg/L for PFOA (NJDEP 2007).
- North Carolina has established an interim maximum allowable concentration of 2 μg/L for PFOA in drinking water (NCDENP 2008).
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Are there any federal and state guidelines and health standards for PFOS and PFOA? (continued)

- Under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), EPA proposed a SNUR in August 2012 requiring companies to report all new uses of long-chain perfluoroalkyl carboxylic (LCPFAC) chemicals for use as part of carpets or to treat carpets, including the import of new carpet containing LCPFACs. The EPA is also proposing to amend the existing SNUR to add 7 additional PFOS-related substances and add “processing” in the definition of significant new use (EPA 2012a).

What detection and site characterization methods are available for PFOS and PFOA?

- Detection methods for environmental samples are primarily based on high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) (ATSDR 2009).
- HPLC-MS/MS has allowed for more sensitive determination of individual PFOS and PFOA in air, water, and soil (ATSDR 2009).
- Both liquid chromatography (LC)-MS/MS and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) can be used to identify the precursors of PFOS and PFOA (EFSA 2008).
- The development of LC – electrospray ionization (ESI) MS and LC-MS/MS has improved the analysis of PFOS and PFOA (EFSA 2008).
- Sample preparation methods include solvent extraction, ion-pair extraction, solid-phase extraction, and column-switching extraction (ATSDR 2009).
- Air samples may be collected using high-volume air samplers that employ sampling modules containing glass-fiber filters and glass columns with a polyurethane foam (EFSA 2008).
- Reported sensitivities for the available detection methods include low picograms (pg) per cubic meter (pg/m³) levels in air, high pg/L to low nanogram (ng)/L levels in water, and high pg per gram (pg/g) to low ng/g levels in soil (ATSDR 2009).

What technologies are being used to treat PFOS and PFOA?

- Because of their unique physiochemical properties (strong fluorine-carbon bond and low vapor pressure), PFOS and PFOA resist most conventional treatment technologies such as direct oxidation and biodegradation (Hartten 2009; Vectis et al. 2009).
- The optimal treatment method depends on the concentration of PFOS and PFOA, background organic and metal concentration, available degradation time, and other site-specific conditions (Vectis et al. 2009).
- Both activated carbon filters and reverse osmosis units have been shown to be effective at reducing PFCs in water at levels typically found in drinking water (below 0.2 µg/L); however, incineration of the concentrated waste is required for complete destruction of PFOS and PFOA (Hartten 2009; MDH 2008; Vectis et al. 2009).
- Alternative technologies studied for PFOS and PFOA degradation include photochemical oxidation and thermally-induced reduction (Hartten 2009; Vectis et al. 2009).
- Studies have also evaluated the use of sonochemical degradation to treat PFOS and PFOA in groundwater (Cheng et al. 2008; Vectis et al. 2009).

Where can I find more information about PFOS and PFOA?

Where can I find more information about PFOS and PFOA? (continued)

- C8 Science Panel. “Probable Link Evaluation of Cancer.”
  [Link](http://www.c8sciencepanel.org/pdfs/Probable_Link_C8_Cancer_16April2012.pdf)
  [Link](www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/pubs/Water%20Treatment%20Methods%20Hartten%20Oct16-09.pdf)
  [Link](www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/wells/waterquality/poudevicefinalsummary.pdf)
- MDH. 2011. Perfluorochemicals (PFCs) in Minnesota.
  [Link](www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/hazardous/topics/pfcs/index.html)
  [Link](www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/601714013.pdf)
  [Link](http://slic.nistatelib.org/slic_files/digidocs/w329/w3292007.pdf)
  [Link](www.oecd.org/dataoecd/34/4/30879983.pdf)
  [Link](www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/pubs/activities.html#nerl)
  [Link](www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2006-03-10/pdf/E6-3444.pdf)
- EPA. 2009b. Provisional Health Advisories for Perfluorooctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluorooctyl Sulfonate (PFOS).
  [Link](www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/pubs/activities.html#nerl)
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Where can I find more information about PFOS and PFOA? (continued)

- EPA. 2012b. Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and Fluorinated Telomers. www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2008. “Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and their salts.” The EFSA Journal Volume 6 (53), Pages 1 to 131.

Contact Information

If you have any questions or comments on this fact sheet, please contact: Mary Cooke, FFRRO, by phone at (703) 603-8712 or by email at cooke.maryt@epa.gov.
APFN/NaPFO Mass Balance

Exhausted Air 25 - 32 %*

APFN/NaPFO 100 %

Product 3 - 10 %

Hot Rinse/Coagulum 2 %

Wastewater 62.7 %

* Evaluated by difference
Attachment 5 - Package of photos and news articles

Photos (6)*

1. Solvay Solexis Facility, Thorofare/West Deptford, NJ
2. Water towers Paulsboro NJ
3. NuStar Energy LLC: Refined petroleum products terminal (liquids); asphalt terminal and refinery
4. NuStar Energy LLC: Refined petroleum products terminal (liquids); asphalt terminal and refinery
5. Rail yard, Paulsboro NJ
6. Exxon-Mobil facility (Lube Plant, lubricating grease manufacture), Billingsport Rd., Paulsboro NJ

News Articles regarding vinyl chloride train derailment in Paulsboro NJ (2)

1. "NJ residents remain worried after rail car lifted from creek", Phil Dunn, Gannett/The (Cherry Hill, N.J.) Courier-Post. December 12, 2012

*All photos by Tracy Carluccio
NJ residents remain worried after rail car lifted from creek

6:13 AM, Dec 12, 2012 |

Paulsboro residents express their frustrations and fears during a meeting Tuesday night. / JOSE F. MORENO/Courier-Post

Written by

Phil Dunn

Gannett/The (Cherry Hill, N.J.) Courier-Post
PAULSBORO, N.J. — A giant crane lifted a damaged rail car\(^1\) from Mantua Creek on Tuesday afternoon, marking a milestone in cleanup efforts in the wake of a Nov. 30 train wreck.

But at a community meeting later that evening, some residents expressed frustration and fear over the continuing impact of an accident that sent a cloud\(^2\) of toxic gas over their neighborhoods.

“I just want to go home,” said Paulsboro resident Terry Manning, one of about 30 residents for whom an evacuation order remains in effect. “Am I going to be home for Christmas?”

“I have a son who is wheezing and my daughter is bleeding out her nose,” said Michael Hamilton, who expressed concern about the long-term impact of a chemical, vinyl chloride, that was released by a damaged tanker car. “I could taste the chemical in my mouth. What are you going to do down the road when I get cancer?”

Officials at the meeting acknowledged at least one error, saying a system to notify residents had not worked properly in the accident’s wake. But they said progress was being made in the cleanup and that air monitoring in recent days has shown no traces of vinyl chloride.

The officials also vowed to do everything they could for affected residents.

“Whether you have a business\(^3\) here, you have kids here, or you live here, we are working for you,” said Coast Guard Capt. Kathy Moore.

She said crews are working day and night at the accident scene, and expressed hope that all residents could be home by this weekend.

The car that was hoisted Tuesday was the one that leaked vinyl chloride after the derailment. Five intact cars\(^4\) remain at the accident scene.
In the coming days, teams will remove three derailed cars containing vinyl chloride, on the east side of the East Jefferson Street Bridge,” the Coast Guard said in a statement Tuesday. Once those cars are gone, it said, “crews will work 24 hours a day to repair the train track and bridge.”

Two derailed cars near the creek’s west bank will be removed after track and bridge repairs are complete, the Coast Guard said. One of those cars holds vinyl chloride. The other is loaded with ethanol.

Moore said the cleanup’s next phase involves diving and crane operations. The swift current in Mantua Creek has limited diving times, but by monitoring the tides, crews have been able to dive morning and night.

“Diving at night is very dangerous,” said Moore. “We are trying to beat back our constraints so we can operate as safely and as much as possible.”

Crews are now working to remove the rail car that has been called “the rocket” due to its vertical position in the water. Moore said they hope to have this completed by Friday.

Many residents at the meeting expressed outrage over a perceived lack of communication since the spill and expressed concern over the state of the Conrail bridge.

“With all the industry that is around us I want to know why we don’t have a better evacuation plan in place,” said Judith Lock. “Five years ago that bridge needed to be replaced, but they put Band-Aid on it instead. We are not expendable.”

Paulsboro Fire Chief Alfonso Giampola acknowledged some communication problems between emergency officials and residents. He said a reverse 911 system intended to alert people to pending danger did not operate efficiently.

“We are working to correct these problems,” he said.

Rob Fender, a Conrail representative, could not answer questions on the bridge’s structural integrity, but he said the rail line will continue to help residents.

Fender said Conrail, which has already been sued by at least one borough resident, has provided more than 1,000 nights at hotels for people affected by the accident. About 780 people have received vouchers for things such as food, clothing and gas.

“I know this has been a tough 10 days and we appreciate your patience,” said Fender. “We are not going anywhere. If you need anything, please come to the assistance center. We can help you.”
PAULSBORO, NJ - July 26, 2013 (WPVI) -- For the first time, we are getting a look at the dangerous levels of vinyl chloride that Paulsboro residents may have been exposed to following last November's train derailment.

Information just released by the National Transportation Safety Board shows more people than first thought were potentially exposed to deadly levels of that toxic chemical.

Action News took a look at the area where experts were warned the general population could experience life-threatening health effects or even death.
You can get a look at the NTSB map by following this link

In the hours following the November 30th train derailment, members of the emergency response command staff testified to the NTSB they were provided with a map, that has never been released to the public until now...

A half-mile wide area was identified as being in the "Red Zone" or "Death Zone" but initially only a few blocks inside that target area were evacuated.

"They knew they were dealing with very high levels of vinyl chloride, none of that information was relayed to the first responders," attorney Mark Cuker told Action News. "The police patrolled this area with no breathing protection whatsoever, for days."

Cuker represents several first responders and residents who became ill following the derailment.

In a NTSB hearing about the incident, investigators say initially residents, police and firefighters on the ground were told the chemical was not toxic.

"The information given to people was false," Cuker contends. "When in fact there was a dangerous level of vinyl chloride in the air. It is unfortunate it has taken this long for the truth about that to come out."

About 300 people were within the "Red Zone" identified as "Death Possible." More than 500 people were within the area where the general population could experience irreversible, or other serious, long-lasting health effects.

"It is pretty scary," business owner Doug Ricotta told Action News. "It is pretty pathetic the town didn't tell anybody anything."

In the weeks and months that followed, residents say public officials failed to warn them about the exposure to dangerous levels of vinyl chloride, or exactly how far that danger zone spread.

"That's terrible. It's like everything around here has a disease to it," said Paulsboro resident Gary Bynum.

"I'm a little concerned about my health because we were here for about 4 days after the spill," added Paulsboro businessman Jerry McMyne.

The question now: What this means for the long term health of everyone who was exposed to deadly levels of this toxic chemical.

"We know it is a toxic chemical, we know it causes cancer," Cuker said. "I think no one can say what it means for the future."

Conrail already reached settlements with an unknown number of families living in the "Red Zone." For as little as $500 per person, they gave up their right to sue Conrail, should they get sick in the future.
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